

regardless of who may have produced them.

5. “IF YOU FIND”

When I say in these instructions that a party has the burden of proof on any proposition, or use the expression “if you find,” I mean you must be persuaded, considering all the evidence in the case, that the proposition is more probably true than not true.

6. EVIDENCE DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CIRCUMSTANTIAL

As I told you at the beginning of the case, there are two types of evidence from which you may properly find the truth as to the facts of a case. One is direct evidence such as the testimony of an eyewitness. The other is indirect or circumstantial evidence that is, the proof of a chain of circumstances pointing to the existence or non-existence of certain facts.

As a general rule, the law makes no distinction between direct or circumstantial evidence, but simply requires that you find the facts in accordance with the preponderance of all the evidence in the case, both direct and circumstantial.

7. INFERENCES DEFINED

You are to consider only the evidence in the case. In your consideration of the evidence, however, you are not limited to the bald statements of the witnesses. In other words, you are not limited to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You are permitted to draw, from facts which you find have been proved, such reasonable inferences as seem justified in light of your experience.

Inferences are deductions or conclusions which reason and common sense lead you to

draw from facts which have been established by the evidence in this case. Inferences may not be based on speculation or conjecture.

8. CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES DISCREPANCIES IN TESTIMONY

You, as jurors, are the sole judges of the credibility of the witnesses and the weight their testimony deserves. You may be guided by the appearance and conduct of the witnesses, the manner in which the witness testified, the character of the testimony given, or evidence to the contrary of the testimony given.

You should carefully scrutinize all the testimony given, the circumstances under which each witness has testified, and every matter in evidence which tends to show whether a witness is worthy of belief. Consider each witness's intelligence, motive, state of mind, and demeanor or manner while on the stand. Consider the witness's ability to observe the matters as to which he or she has testified, and whether he or she impresses you as having an accurate recollection of these matters. Consider also any relation each witness may bear to either side of the case; the manner in which each witness might be affected by the verdict; and the extent to which, if at all, each witness is either supported or contradicted by other evidence in the case including statements that he or she may have made on some prior occasion.

Inconsistencies or discrepancies in the testimony of a witness, or between the testimony of different witnesses, may or may not cause you to discredit such testimony. Two or more persons witnessing an incident or a transaction may see or hear it differently; innocent misrecollection, like failure of recollection, is not an uncommon experience. In weighing the effect of a discrepancy, always consider whether it pertains to a matter of importance or an