UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

EDWARD LEE	:	
	:	
v.	:	C.A. No. 14-529L
	:	
A.T.WALL, et al.	:	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Lincoln D. Almond, United States Magistrate Judge

Plaintiff Edward Lee commenced this pro se civil rights action while a maximum security inmate at the ACI. A telephonic Rule 16 Conference was held on July 2, 2015. Mr. Lee was advised during this Conference of his responsibility to comply with the Court's rules including its Local Rules. Mr. Lee was released from custody at some point in August 2015.

On August 3, 2015, Mr. Lee filed a Motion for a Settlement Conference. (Document No. 25). The Motion was GRANTED and the case was referred for mediation on August 11, 2015. (Document No. 26). Apparently, Mr. Lee initially resided at the Amos House shelter upon his release but was discharged for non-compliance with its rules. He has not provided a forwarding address to the Court which caused the Court's mediator to cancel the scheduled mediation of this case.

As a pro se litigant, Mr. Lee was subject to the requirements of Local Rule General 205. Pursuant to Local Rule General 205(d)(1), Mr. Lee was obligated to inform the Clerk in writing of any change of mailing address within fourteen days of such change. Mr. Lee did not do so. Thus, the Court and Defendants' counsel have no ability to contact Mr. Lee about this case or to serve him

with notices or orders in this case. In addition, the Court's mediator was unable to proceed with a scheduled mediation which had been requested by Mr. Lee.

Accordingly, I recommend that this case be DISMISSED with prejudice due to Mr. Lee's noncompliance with Local Rule General 205(d)(1) and pursuant to Rule 41(b), Fed. R. Civ. P. for his failure to diligently prosecute this civil action.

Any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be specific and must be filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen (14) days of its receipt. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); LR Cv 72. Failure to file specific objections in a timely manner constitutes waiver of the right to review by the District Court and the right to appeal the District Court's decision. See United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4, 6 (1st Cir. 1986); Park Motor Mart, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co., 616 F.2d 603, 605 (1st Cir. 1980).

/s/ Lincoln D. Almond
LINCOLN D. ALMOND
United States Magistrate Judge
November 13, 2015