1	IN THE UNITH	ED STATES DISTR	LICT COURT
2	FOR THE DI	ISTRICT OF RHOI	DE ISLAND
3			
4	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	C.R. NO. 04-100ML
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERI	* * ۲ <sup>0</sup> A	
6	VS.	*	APRIL 27, 2005
7	JOEL FRANCISCO	*	9:30 A.M.
8	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	PROVIDENCE, RI
9			
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY M. LISI,		
11	DISTRICT JUDGE		
12		(And a Jury)	
13	APPEARANCES:		
14	FOR THE GOVERNMENT:	MARY E. ROGERS	
15		STEPHEN G. DAM U.S. Attorney'	s Office
16		50 Kennedy Pla Providence, RI	
17	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	DAMON D'AMBROS	
18 19		160 Plainfield Providence, RI	
20	Court Reporter:	Karen M. Zinni One Exchange T	
20		Providence, RI	
21			
22			
24	Proceeding reported	and produced b stenography	by computer-aided

1 THE COURT: To the people in the gallery, I am 2 now going to charge the jury. If you wish to leave, 3 you may leave now. If you choose to stay, you will not 4 be permitted to leave while I'm charging the jury. So 5 for those of you who wish to leave, now is the time for 6 you to go.

7 (Pause)

8 THE COURT: Members of the jury, I know that you 9 have been very attentive throughout this trial, and I 10 ask that you continue for the next several minutes. It 11 will take me approximately 35 or 40 minutes to provide 12 you those detailed instructions I told you about at the 13 beginning of the case.

Now, I was a schoolteacher in another life, and so I know that some people learn better by hearing, some better by seeing. I'll read these instructions to you now; but I will also tell you that you will be provided with a written copy of them so that if you should find it necessary to refer to them during your deliberations, you will have a copy to refer to.

For those of you who learn better by listening, you will also be provided with an audio tape and a tape player so that if you wish to listen to them again, you may do so. For now, however, I do ask that you give me your undivided attention.

We have now come to the end of this trial. This case, like all criminal cases, is a serious one. I say this because the Defendant and the United States have a deep concern for your mature consideration of the evidence as presented and the law which I am about to give to you.

7 Although you, as the jury, are the sole judges 8 of the facts, you are duty-bound to follow the law as I 9 instruct you and to apply that law to the facts as you 10 find them to be from the evidence which has been 11 presented during the trial.

12 You are not to single out any one instruction as 13 stating the law. Rather, you must consider these 14 instructions in their entirety. You are not to be 15 concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law, regardless of any opinion which you might have as to 16 17 what the law ought to be. It would be a violation of your sworn duty to base your verdict upon any version 18 19 of the law other than that which I am about to give to 20 you.

You have been chosen and sworn as jurors in this case to try the issues of fact presented by the allegations of the Indictment and the denial made by the not guilty plea of the Defendant. You are to perform this duty without bias or prejudice as to any

KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

party. The law does not permit jurors to be governed
by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion.

The accused and the Government are entitled to an impartial consideration of all the evidence. Moreover, the parties and the public expect that you will carefully and impartially consider all the evidence in the case, follow the law as stated by the Court and reach a just verdict regardless of the consequences.

10 The fact that the prosecution is brought in the 11 name of the United States of America entitles the 12 Government to no greater consideration than that 13 accorded to any other party to a litigation. By the 14 same token, it is entitled to no less consideration. 15 All parties, whether Government or individuals, stand 16 as equals at the bar of justice.

For the purposes of determining whether or not the Government has sustained its burden of proof, you must evaluate all of the evidence. The evidence in this case consists of the sworn testimony of the witnesses and all exhibits received in evidence.

Any proposed testimony or proposed exhibit to which an objection was sustained by the Court, as well as any testimony or exhibit ordered stricken by the Court, must be entirely disregarded.

KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

Anything you may have seen or heard outside the
courtroom is not proper evidence and must be entirely
disregarded.

In determining whether the Government has sustained its burden of proof, you are to consider only the evidence; but in your consideration of the evidence, you are not limited to the statements of witnesses or solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify.

You are permitted to draw, from the facts which you find have been proven, such reasonable inferences as seem justified in light of your experiences. Inferences are simply deductions or conclusions which reason and common sense lead you to draw from facts which have been established by the evidence in the case.

As I told you in my preliminary instructions, there are two types of evidence which you may consider. One is direct evidence, such as the testimony of an eyewitness. The other is indirect or circumstantial evidence, which is a chain of circumstances pointing to certain facts.

The law makes no distinction at all between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence, nor is a greater degree of certainty required

KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

б 1 of circumstantial evidence than of direct evidence. 2 In determining whether the Government has 3 sustained its burden of proof, you can and should weigh 4 all the evidence, both direct and circumstantial. The fact that the Court may have admitted 5 evidence over objection should not influence you in б 7 determining the weight that you will give such evidence, nor should the statements made by counsel 8 9 either for or against the admission of offered evidence influence your determination of the weight that you 10 11 will give the evidence if admitted. 12 In other words, you should determine the weight you will give the evidence on the basis of your own 13 14 consideration of it and without regard to the 15 statements of counsel concerning the admissibility of that evidence. 16 17 Now, if any reference by the Court or by counsel to matters of evidence does not coincide with your own 18 recollection, it is your recollection which should 19 control during your deliberations. 20 21 In all criminal cases, there is a presumption of innocence. Every Defendant under our system of law is 22 presumed to be innocent of the accusation which is 23

innocence must remain with the Defendant from the

filed against him or her; and this presumption of

24

25

1 moment the charge is brought, throughout the trial, through the arguments of counsel, throughout the 2 instructions of the Court and throughout your 3 4 deliberations when you retire to consider your verdict 5 in the secrecy of the jury room. б The presumption of innocence remains unless and 7 until you find that a Defendant is quilty beyond a 8 reasonable doubt of a charge as stated in the 9 Indictment. 10 If you find, however, that the Defendant is 11 quilty beyond a reasonable doubt of each and every 12 element of a crime with which he is charged, the 13 presumption of innocence disappears and is of no 14 further avail to him. 15 In criminal cases, the law places the burden of proof upon the Government. The Government has the 16 17 burden of proving each and every element of the offense 18 as charged beyond a reasonable doubt. 19 Now, what is meant by the term beyond a reasonable doubt. Obviously, the obligation resting 20 21 upon the Government to prove a Defendant's guilt beyond 22 a reasonable doubt does not mean that it must do so beyond all conceivable doubts, nor does it require the 23 24 Government to prove a Defendant's quilt to a mathematical or scientific certainty. 25

1 Reasonable doubt means that the Government must 2 adduce evidence which, on examination, is found to be 3 so convincing and compelling as to leave in your minds no reasonable doubt about a Defendant's quilt. 4 We know from experience what a doubt is, just as 5 we know when something is reasonable or unreasonable. б 7 Reasonable doubt, by definition, means a doubt founded upon reason and not speculation, that is, a doubt for 8 9 which you can give some sound reason. 10 If, therefore, after reviewing all the evidence 11 there remains in your mind a doubt about the Defendant's guilt and this doubt appears in the light 12 of the evidence to be reasonable, your duty is to find 13 14 the Defendant not quilty. If, however, at the end of your deliberations 15 you are convinced by the evidence beyond a reasonable 16 17 doubt that the Defendant is guilty, your duty would be to return a verdict against him. 18 Ladies and gentlemen, you will have a copy of 19 the Indictment in the jury room. An Indictment is 20 21 nothing more than an accusation. It is a piece of paper filed with the Court to bring a criminal charge 22 against a Defendant. 23 24 Here, the Defendant has pled not guilty and has put in issue the charges alleged in the Indictment. 25 KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

The Government, therefore, has the burden of proving
the allegations made against the Defendant.

The fact that an Indictment has been filed in this case does not give rise to a presumption of guilt. It does not even lead to an inference of guilt. The Indictment simply brings this matter before you for determination. Beyond that, it has no significance whatsoever.

9 You will note that the Indictment charges that 10 the offenses were committed on or about a certain date. 11 The proof need not establish with certainty the exact 12 date of the alleged offense. It is sufficient that the 13 evidence in the case establishes beyond a reasonable 14 doubt that the offenses were committed on a date 15 reasonably near the date alleged in the Indictment.

16 The Indictment in this case contains two counts 17 or charges. You should consider each charge and the 18 evidence pertaining to it separately. The fact that 19 you may find the Defendant guilty or not guilty as to 20 one of the offenses charged should not control your 21 verdict as to the other offense charged.

22 Count I of the Indictment charges that on or 23 about October 24, 2004, in the District of Rhode 24 Island, the Defendant, Joel Francisco, did knowingly 25 and intentionally possess with intent to distribute

KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing
a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II
controlled substance, in violation of Title 21,
Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B) of the United
States Code.

б Count II of the Indictment charges that on or 7 about October 24, 2004, in the District of Rhode 8 Island, the Defendant, Joel Francisco, did knowingly 9 and intentionally possess with intent to distribute 10 50 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a 11 detectable amount of cocaine base, a Schedule II 12 controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, 13 Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A) of the United 14 States Code.

Section 841(a) of Title 21 of the United States Code provides in part that it shall be unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally to possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance.

You are instructed that, as a matter of law, cocaine is a Schedule II controlled substance. For simplicity, whenever I use the word "cocaine" in these instructions, I mean a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine.

24 Crack is the street name for a form of cocaine 25 base. You are instructed that, as a matter of law,

KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

cocaine base or crack is a Schedule II controlled
substance. Again, for simplicity, whenever I refer to
cocaine base or crack in these instructions, I am
referring to a mixture or substance containing a
detectable amount of cocaine base.

There are three essential elements which the б 7 Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt in order to sustain its burden of proof on Count I: 8 9 First, that the Defendant possessed 500 grams or more 10 of cocaine; second, that the Defendant's possession was 11 knowing and intentional; and third, that the Defendant 12 possessed the cocaine with the specific intent to 13 distribute it.

14 There are also three essential elements which the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt in 15 order to sustain its burden of proof on Count II: 16 17 First, that the Defendant possessed 50 grams or more of cocaine base; second, that the Defendant's possession 18 19 was knowing and intentional; and third, that the Defendant possessed the cocaine base with the specific 20 21 intent to distribute it.

The term "possession" means to exercise control or authority over something at a given time. There are several types of possession, actual and constructive, sole and joint.

Possession is considered to be actual possession when a person knowingly has direct physical control or authority over something. Possession is called constructive when a person does not have direct physical control over something but can knowingly control it and intends to control it, sometimes through another person.

8 Possession may be knowingly exercised by one 9 person exclusively. This is called sole possession. 10 Possession may also be knowingly exercised by two or 11 more persons. This is called joint possession. 12 Whenever I use the term possession in these 13 instructions, I mean actual as well as constructive 14 possession, sole as well as joint possession.

15 You may find the element of possession is proved 16 if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the 17 Defendant knowingly had actual or constructive 18 possession of a controlled substance, either alone or 19 with others.

I caution you, however, that mere proximity to drugs or mere association with another person who exercises control over drugs is insufficient to support a finding of possession.

24To sustain its burden of proof as to Count I,25the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt

1 that the controlled substance involved here was cocaine 2 and that the amount of cocaine that the Defendant 3 possessed with intent to distribute was 500 grams or 4 more.

5 To sustain its burden of proof as to Count II, 6 the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt 7 that the controlled substance involved here was cocaine 8 base and that the amount of cocaine base that the 9 Defendant possessed with intent to distribute was 10 50 grams or more.

11 The phrase "with intent to distribute" means to 12 have in mind or to plan in some way to deliver or to 13 transfer possession or control over a thing to someone 14 else. In this context, the phrase refers to the 15 specific intent to actually or constructively transfer 16 or to attempt to transfer the controlled substance 17 described in the Indictment.

18 In attempting to determine the intent of any 19 person, you may take into your consideration all the 20 facts and circumstances shown by the evidence received 21 in the case concerning that person.

In determining a person's intent to distribute a controlled substance, you may consider, among other things, the quantity of the controlled substance, the presence or absence of packaging materials, scales,

KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

cutting agents and large amounts of cash. The law does
not require you to draw the inference of intent from
this evidence, but you may do so.

4 The Government must prove beyond a reasonable 5 doubt that the Defendant intended to distribute the 6 controlled substance alleged in each count of the 7 Indictment.

8 The exhibits received into evidence by the Court 9 are properly before you and will be available to you 10 during your deliberations.

Now, let me just say you will have both bags of the cocaine and cocaine base. They're sealed in plastic bags. You're free to handle them, but please don't puncture those bags in any way.

15 An exhibit marked by the Court for identification is not evidence in the case unless or 16 17 until it was admitted by the Court as a full exhibit. If it has not been admitted as a full exhibit, you may 18 not consider it. If it was admitted, however, it is 19 just as much a part of the evidence in the case as the 20 21 testimony which you have heard from the witness stand. 22 Now, some of the evidence admitted in this case was obtained through the interception of telephone 23 24 communications and a search of a residence by law enforcement officers. Such evidence is properly before 25

you for your consideration along with all the other
evidence in the case.

In determining whether the Government has proven the Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt on either of the counts of the Indictment, whether you approve or disapprove of the manner in which any evidence was obtained is not relevant to the case and must not affect your evaluation of the evidence in the case.

10 The remarks, statements or questions by counsel 11 are not evidence and are not to be considered by you as 12 evidence during your deliberations. Neither should you 13 permit objections by counsel to the admission of 14 evidence or the rulings of the Court create any bias or 15 prejudice toward counsel or the party whom he or she 16 represents.

17 It is the duty of counsel for both sides to 18 represent their clients vigorously and to defend their 19 clients' rights and interests. In the performance of 20 that duty, counsel freely may make objection to the 21 admission of offered evidence or to any other ruling of 22 the Court and should not be penalized for doing so.

If during trial or in instructing you I have said or done anything that has caused you to believe that I was indicating an opinion as to what the facts

KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

are in this case, you should put that belief out of your mind. I did not intend to indicate any such opinion. In fact, I try not to have an opinion about the case because you are the sole and exclusive judges of the facts.

6 In determining the facts, you are to consider 7 only that evidence which has properly been placed 8 before you. It is the Court's duty to pass upon the 9 admissibility of offered evidence, that is, to decide 10 whether or not offered evidence should be considered by 11 you.

Evidence admitted by the Court is properly before you for your consideration. Evidence which the Court has refused to admit or may have stricken from the record after you heard it is not a proper subject for your deliberations and is not to be considered by you.

18 The rules of evidence ordinarily do not permit 19 witnesses to testify as to opinions or conclusions. An exception to this rule exists as to those whom we call 20 21 expert witnesses. Such witnesses who have special 22 training or experience in a technical field may state an opinion concerning that technical matter and may 23 24 also state the reasons for their opinion. Merely because an expert witness has expressed 25

1 an opinion, of course, does not mean that you have to 2 accept it. As with any other witness, you should 3 consider the testimony and give it such weight as you 4 think it deserves. Brian Hall, Thomas Verdi and Ronald 5 Alongis were presented as expert witnesses in this 6 case.

7 The law does not require you to accept or credit 8 the evidence I have admitted. In determining what 9 evidence you will accept, you must make your own 10 evaluation of the testimony given by each of the 11 witnesses and the weight you choose to give his or her 12 testimony.

13 In evaluating the testimony of witnesses, you 14 may consider several facts, the opportunity of the 15 witnesses to have acquired knowledge of that to which they testified, their conduct and demeanor while 16 17 testifying, their interest or lack of interest, if any, 18 in the outcome of the case, their intelligence or lack 19 thereof and the probability or improbability of the truth of their testimony. 20

Inconsistencies or discrepancies in the testimony of a witness or between the testimony of different witnesses may or may not cause you to disbelieve or discredit such testimony. Two or more persons witnessing an incident or transaction may

KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

1 simply see or hear it differently.

2 Innocent misrecollection, like failure of 3 recollection, is not an uncommon experience. In 4 weighing the effect of a discrepancy, however, always 5 consider whether it pertains to a matter of importance 6 or an insignificant detail and consider whether the 7 discrepancy results from innocent error or intentional 8 falsehood.

9 From these circumstances and from all of the 10 other facts and circumstances proved at trial, you may 11 determine whether or not the Government has sustained 12 its burden of proof.

13 The law does not compel a Defendant in a 14 criminal case to take the witness stand and testify. 15 No presumption of guilt may be raised and no inference 16 of any kind may be drawn from the fact that a Defendant 17 did not testify. Further, the law never imposes upon a 18 Defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any 19 witnesses or producing any evidence.

Now, if you'll indulge us for just a couple of moments, I'm going to meet with counsel here at the bench to make sure I haven't left anything out. So just bear with us for a few moments. Counsel, please. (Bench conference held on the record) THE COURT: Mr. D'Ambrosio?

KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

1 MR. D'AMBROSIO: No objection to the 2 instructions. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MS. ROGERS: None from the Government, your 5 Honor. б THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 7 (End of bench conference) 8 THE COURT: Miss Brown and Mr. Obert, you were 9 impaneled as alternate jurors in this case; and if one 10 of the other jurors had been taken ill or otherwise 11 incapacitated, you would have been called upon at this 12 time to begin deliberations. However, under the rules, 13 only 12 jurors may deliberate. 14 What that means is that your service as jurors 15 in this case has come to an end. I hope that this has been a good experience for you and that it helped you 16 17 understand our system of justice maybe a little bit 18 better than you might have thought before becoming a 19 juror, and I also hope that at some point you'll have another opportunity to serve as a juror and get to 20 21 deliberate on a verdict. 22 I thank you for the time that you've spent. Please leave your notebooks here. They will be 23 24 collected and destroyed. You're now free to leave. Jim, if you could see Mr. Obert and Miss Brown out, 25

1 please.

2 (Alternate jurors excused) THE COURT: To render a verdict, all 12 of you 3 4 must agree; that is, your verdict must be unanimous. 5 Therefore, during your deliberations and in your б consideration of the evidence, you should exercise 7 reasonable and intelligent judgment. 8 It is not required that you yield your view 9 simply because a majority holds to the contrary view; 10 but in pursuing your deliberations, you should keep 11 your minds reasonably open with respect to any point in 12 dispute so that you will not be prevented from 13 achieving a unanimous verdict due to mere stubbornness. 14 It is your right, however, to maintain your view. 15 The vote of each juror is as important as the vote of any other juror; and you need not give up your 16 17 view, sincerely held, simply because a majority holds to the contrary view. 18 19 Do not approach your consideration of the case in an intellectual vacuum. You are not required to 20 21 disregard your experiences and observations in the 22 ordinary, everyday affairs of life. Indeed, your experiences and observations are essential to your 23 24 exercise of sound judgment and discretion, and it is your right and duty to consider the evidence in light 25 KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

1

of such experiences and observations.

2 It is hoped and anticipated that you will sift 3 all the evidence in this case through maturity and 4 common sense.

5 Of course, prejudice, sympathy or compassion 6 should not be permitted to influence you. All that any 7 party is entitled to or expects is a verdict based upon 8 your fair, scrupulous and conscientious examination of 9 the evidence and an application thereto of the law as I 10 have instructed you.

Now, you will be permitted to take your notebooks with you into the deliberation room. I remind you, however, that if you did take notes, those notes are for your personal and individual use only. They're not to be considered the record of these proceedings. Remember, Karen has taken the official notes of this proceeding.

You should not take with you, however, the black binders that contained the transcripts. Remember, those were not evidence. What you heard on the tapes was the evidence. So please leave the black notebooks here, and you may bring your other notebooks in with you.

Now, if in the course of your deliberations you
should find it necessary to be further instructed or
KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

assisted by the Court in any way, you may send a note
signed by your foreperson. The foreperson will then
hand such written request or question to the court
officer in whose charge you will be placed.

5 The officer will then bring any written 6 questions or requests to me, and I will attempt to 7 fulfill your request or answer your question. Other 8 than the method outlined, please do not attempt to 9 communicate privately or in any other way with the 10 Court.

Miss Danielian, am I pronouncing your name correctly? I'm appointing you foreperson of this jury. It will be your responsibility to moderate the discussions of the jurors to make sure that each and every one of your fellow jurors has an equal and ample opportunity to voice his or her opinion.

17 It will also be your responsibility to sign any 18 questions or requests that the jury may have; and 19 finally, once the jury has reached a unanimous verdict, 20 it will be your responsibility to fill out the verdict 21 form which you'll see poses very simple questions and 22 has very simple instructions. Fill it out, sign it and 23 date it.

24 Members of the jury, bear in mind that you are 25 never to reveal to any person, not even to the Court,

KAREN M. ZINNI, RPR-RMR-CRR

how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, on the question of whether the accused is guilty or not guilty until after you have reached a unanimous verdict. When you have reached a verdict, you will return here and make your verdict known. Jim. б (Court security officer sworn) THE COURT: Members of the jury, you may now gather up your notebooks and commence your deliberations.