that has been presented. Inferences from circumstantial evidence may be drawn on the basis of reason, experience and common sense. Inferences may not, however, be drawn from guesswork or speculation or conjecture. The law does not require a party to introduce direct evidence. A party may prove a fact entirely by circumstantial evidence or by a combination of direct and circumstantial evidence.

Circumstantial evidence is no less valuable than direct evidence. So as I've said, you're to consider all the evidence in this case, both direct and circumstantial, and determine what the facts of the case are in arriving at your verdict.

Now, as I have said many times, it's for you to decide what the facts of this case are, and you should not interpret anything I have said or done during the course of this trial as to indicate any opinion on my part as to what the facts of the case may be. I have not intended to express any such opinion to you and you should not be concerned about what my opinion is about the facts of this case. That is entirely up to you to decide the facts.

Also, during the course of the trial, you've heard some occasions when the attorneys have objected to a question that has been asked of a witness. You

should not penalize an attorney or, more importantly, his client for objecting. It's the attorney's right and duty to protect the client's interest by objecting to a question that they believe is not in conformance with or satisfies the Rules of Evidence. So if I sustained the objection, then it's important that you not speculate as to what the answer to the objected to question may have been. By sustaining the objection, I've held that the evidence should not be considered.

Now, no bias in favor of any person or cause or corporation, nor prejudice against any person or cause or corporation, nor sympathy of any kind whatsoever should be permitted to influence you during the course of your deliberations. All that any party here is entitled to, or for that matter expects from you, is a verdict that is based on your scrupulous and conscientious examination of the evidence that is before you and the application of the law as I have just explained it to you.

Now, in order to return a verdict in this case, all ten of you must agree -- eight I should say, eight of you must agree. If there were ten, we'd have a problem -- must agree as to what the verdict will be. So there are two things that I want you to keep in mind during the course of your deliberations.