Direct and Circumstantial Evidence

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eye witness. Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you could find another fact.

You should consider both kinds of evidence. As a general rule, the law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

Direct evidence can prove a material fact by itself. It does not require any other evidence. It does not require you to draw any inferences. A witness's testimony is direct evidence when the witness testifies to what he saw, heard, or felt. In other words, when a witness testifies about what is known from his own personal knowledge by virtue of his own senses, what he sees, touches, or hears—that is direct evidence. The only question is whether you believe the witness's testimony. A document or physical object may also be direct evidence when it can prove a material fact by itself, without any other evidence or inference. You may, of course, have to determine the genuineness of the document or object.

Circumstantial evidence is the opposite of direct evidence. It cannot prove a material fact by itself. Rather, it is evidence that tends to prove a material fact when considered together with other evidence and by drawing inferences. There is a simple example of circumstantial evidence that I used at the beginning of this trial that you may recall.

Assume that when you got up this morning it was a nice, sunny day. But when you looked around you noticed that the streets and sidewalks were very wet. You had no direct evidence that it rained during the night. But, on the combination of facts that I have asked you to assume, it would be reasonable and logical for you to infer that it had rained during the night.

Not all circumstantial evidence presents such a clear compelling inference; the strength of the inferences arising from circumstantial evidence is for you to determine. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

Inferences from circumstantial evidence may be drawn on the basis of reason, experience, and common sense. Inferences may not, however, be drawn by guesswork, speculation, or conjecture.

The law does not require a party to introduce direct evidence. A party may prove a fact entirely on circumstantial evidence or upon a combination of direct and circumstantial evidence. Circumstantial evidence is not less valuable than direct evidence.

You are to consider all the evidence in the case, both direct and circumstantial, in determining what the facts are, and in arriving at your verdict.